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People are always trying to live morally. However, this is not easy because sometimes people should choose something unavoidably for someone or themselves even though they already know that is immoral choice. If people are faced with an unexpected difficulty, their judgment can be clouded to solve problem, and they cannot distinguish between moral choice and immoral choice. Moreover, they expect only good consequence, and ignore process after acting something because they want to solve just their problem at that time. There is a man in crisis. A man who was Heinz had wife who had a rare kind of cancer. Therefore, he needed drug to save his wife because doctor said that one drug might save her. However, the drug was very expensive to produce, but Heinz did not have enough money to buy the drug because the drug cost was 2,000 dollars, but he had just 200 dollars. For that reason, he tried to borrow money from his friends, but he could borrow just half of the drug cost. Therefore, Heinz requested to a druggist to buy the drug at low prices. However, a druggist did not sell the drug to him because he has developed the drug to earn money. Thus, he decided to steal the drug from the druggist who has developed the drug. Finally, Heinz became a thief to save his wife. Heinz eventually chose immoral way. This story is related to human life. Therefore, people should try to think a little more logical and morally with using the philosophy of the philosophers. All philosophers have ideas on their own, and each philosophers who are John Stuart Mill, Immanuel Kant, and Aristotle have different the idea of moral because philosophy is a field that studies the fundamental principles and the essence of life for humans and the world. Therefore, they can have different opinion about Heinz's story. John Stuart Mill and Aristotle thought that Heinz's acting was not wrong whereas Immanuel Kant thought that Heinz's acting was wrong.

Heinz's decision was not wrong. Heinz's wife can get better by taking the drugs which Heinz stole. Heinz thought that saving a his wife was most important than he become a thief, and commit a crime. Thus, he thought about consequences that his wife would get better after taking the drug. According to Dr. Joseph Chuman, John Stuart Mill would say, "you must use your imagination and try to predict the results of your actions" (John Stuart Mill, 21). People have to think and expect about what will happen before acting. Heinz would also expect about result after his decision. Therefore, he already know that stealing is not moral situation, but he can save the his wife's life if he steal the drug from the druggist. Thus, he chose immoral situation because of his wife's life. For Mill "you are acting morally if the consequences of your action bring happiness to the greatest number of people, including yourself" (John Stuart Mill, 23). Heinz chose the best way in terms of John Stuart Mill's maxims because consequence of Heinz's acting would bring happiness to him. His happiness was his wife's recover. Therefore, he stole the drug for his wife even though he already know that his acting is wrong. However, if Heinz stole the drug, his wife can get better, but the druggist can lose the drug and money. Mill also said "If people will suffer because of your action, then you shouldn't do it" (John Stuart Mill, 27). That means result of someone's action have to bring all people's happiness. However, Heinz's decision bring only his and his wife's happiness except the druggist's happiness, but Heinz thought that his wife was more important than the druggist. Thus, it would bring the happiness to him. As a result, it is a moral action.

Heinz's action was wrong because Heinz's acting showed that he ignored a druggist's words to get the drugs for his wife. There is the philosopher who is Immanuel Kant is opposed to this judgment. Kant has a philosophy that can never be a means for the purpose is a human. Therefore, Immanuel Kant does not support Heinz's acting. According to Dr. Joseph Chuman, Immanuel Kant would say, "We should never use people as tools. as we do chairs or a pen" (Immanuel Kant, 16). Kant said that human cannot itself be a vehicle for other purposes, and human cannot to be a tool. It should not be readily determined because human presence is important to human its meaning. Moreover, Kant said, "People must respect all other people and their freedom because human beings possess dignity" (Immanuel Kant, 15). that means people should respect the freedom of others. Kant thought that it is important for human existence that everyone should respect human. However, Heinz stole the drug from the druggist. He committed a crime. Therefore, it is wrong way in terms of Immanuel Kant's maxims. Kant cannot accept the Heinz's action because Heinz did not consider about the druggist's dignity. Therefore, when Heinz stole the drug, it is not respect the freedom and dignity of the druggist. Kant also said "we cannot predict consequences, we must ignore them and be consistent" (Immanuel Kant, 13). that means anyone cannot know and expect consequences. Thus, people do not predict result of their acting. However, Heinz did not ignore consequences, and he expected that his action would bring happiness to him and his wife except the druggist. Therefore, Kant cannot accept Heinz's action. This makes sense because Heinz thought only his wife, and did not consider and respect about another people's dignity. Nevertheless, although Heinz ignored the druggist for his wife, he could save a loved one who is his wife. As a result, Heinz's action cannot be wrong.

Heinz's action was not wrong. If Heinz can save the his wife as a become thief, it is good way. There is the philosopher who is Aristotle. Aristotle's philosophy concept is growth to become a moral person if people want to live an ethical life. In this story, Heinz wanted to become a good husband because relationship with his wife is the most important to him. Thus, he decided to steal the drug to save his wife. According to Dr. Joseph Chuman, Aristotle would say, "You should ask yourself what kind of person you want to become" (Aristotle, 46). Aristotle claim that asking yourself before you do something, and thinking about what people want to become. Before the stealing, Heinz had to think about what do I become. After that, he should executed action. If Heinz thought about what does he want to become before doing something, it was not wrong because he executed something to become someone. Aristotle also said "Then you can make moral choices based on whether an action will help you become that person" (Aristotle, 47). That means if your actions help you become a person who you want, your actions is moral choice. Therefore, Aristotle supports his acting and decision because Heinz wanted to become a good husband and save a his wife. It is not wrong. Moreover, Aristotle's concept is also physical and social are necessary for human development. Aristotle said "The social things include friendship, supportive social relationships, and education" (Aristotle, 41). That means social is very important in human's life. This mention also supports Heinz's action because Heinz consider about relationship with his wife the most importantly. Heinz thought that relationship is more important than money which people can buy something. As a result, Heinz's action was not wrong because he thought that what did he want to become before stealing the drug for saving his wife.

In conclusion, even though Immanuel Kant thought that Heinz's action was wrong. However, John Stuart Mill and Aristotle thought that Heinz's action was not wrong. They have different opinion and maxims. Firstly, John Stuart Mill thought that people have to think about consequences of their action before doing something. Thus, Heinz's action was not wrong because Heinz considered about result. He wanted to save his wife, so he stole the drug. Heinz thought that it would bring happiness to him. Secondly, Immanuel Kant thought that people cannot expect their consequences, so people should ignore results before acting. However, Heinz predicted consequence of his action. After that, he executed acting which he wants. Therefore, it is wrong. Thirdly, Aristotle thought that if people think about what they want to become before doing something, result would be moral way. Therefore, Heinz stole the drug for his wife because Heinz wanted to become a good husband to his wife. Thus, Heinz's action was not wrong. As a result, John Stuart Mill and Aristotle support the Heinz's choice whereas Immanuel Kant does not support the Heinz's choice. Instead, Heinz have to consider and think about the druggist's circumstance. Heinz's wife would get better by stealing the drug from the druggist, but the druggist would lost everything which are money and drug. Heinz should find a way to be happy everyone who are both of his wife and the druggist.